Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/767,522	ROE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EMILY M. LLOYD	3736
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowable</u>		
(1) <u>EMILY M. LLOYD</u> .	(3)	
(2) Chuck Schmal.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 February 2008	Time: <u>3 <i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Double Patenting Rejection based on US Patent 7322942.		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: US Patent 7322942		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner noted that, as an example, claim 57 of the current application is rejected on the basis of claims 1 and 4 of US Patent 7322942. Applicant agreed to file a terminal disclaimer.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate re directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate re did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	on summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A _j	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)